

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/659,431	09/08/2000	Srinath Hosur	TI-29648	4265
23494 7	11/03/2003		EXAM	INER
	TRUMENTS INCORP	LIU, SHU	LIU, SHUWANG	
P O BOX 6554 DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
22,			2634	4
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/200	3.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•	OR R				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
Office Action Summary		09/659,431	HOSUR ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Shuwang Liu	2634					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess				
A SHI THE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep	ly be timely filed					
- If NC - Failu - Any r	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	IS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status				•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	September 2000 .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3) <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			nerits is				
	on of Claims Claim(s) 1-70 is/are pending in the application	nn.						
,—								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
-	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
-	on Papers	or election requirement.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc		Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in App	olication No					
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·		alication)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			plication).				
15) 🗌 🗸)	• •						
Attachmen	• •	_						
2) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). formal Patent Application (PTO-1					

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 2 and 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 1, line 4, enter the application number and filed date.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Art Unit: 2634

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Golden et al. (US 5,819,168).

As shown in figures 1-3, Golden et al. discloses a method of multipath combining, comprising:

(1) regarding claim 1:

forming at least one matrix of convariances (R_{xx} or R_{i+n}) of multipath inputs (column 5, line1);

finding an eigenvector of the matrix (equations 13, column 5, lines 4-27); and combining (see figure 1) the multipath inputs relatively weighted according to the components of eigenvector (equations 15-17, column 5, line 55-column 6, line 18, equation 20, column 7, lines 6-26 and column 3, lines 7-15).

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Golden et al. (US 5,819,168).

As shown in figures 3- 4, Golden et al. discloses a method of multipath combining, comprising:

(1) regarding claim 1:

Art Unit: 2634

forming at least one matrix of convariances (R_A) of multipath inputs (column 6, lines 23-29);

finding an eigenvector of the matrix (column 6, lines 23-36); and combining (254 in figure 3) the multipath inputs relatively weighted according to the components of eigenvector (see $W_1 \dots W_n$).

(2) regarding claim 2:

wherein the eigenvector is associated with a maximal eigenvalue of the matrix (see 274 in figure 4).

6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Brunner et al. (IEEE, 3/99).

As shown in figure 2, Brunner et al. discloses a method of multipath combining, comprising:

(1) regarding claim 1:

forming at least one matrix of convariances (R_{SIN}) of multipath inputs (equation 4);

finding an eigenvector of the matrix (see contents between equations 6 and 7 on page 2384); and

combining (equation 8) the multipath inputs relatively weighted according to the components of eigenvector (see page 2384 and W in equation 8).

(2) regarding claim 2:

Application/Control Number: 09/659,431 Page 5

Art Unit: 2634

wherein the eigenvector is associated with a maximal eigenvalue of the matrix (page 2384).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 4-9 are allowed.
- 8. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to teach and suggest a method of multipath combining, comprising forming a first and a second matrix of covariances and an estimation matrix from the first and second matrices as recited in claims.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9556.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2634

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Shuwang Liu

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2634

October 29, 2003